ERRATUM

Leonardo Salgado · Yunny Meas · Gabriel Trejo

Influence of the degree of surface oxidation of polycrystalline Rh electrodes on the underpotential deposition of Cu

Published online: 21 August 2002 © Springer-Verlag 2002

J Solid State Electrochem (2002) DOI 10.1007/s10008-002-0282-7

Unfortunately there were a few errors in the abovementioned article:

1. In "Results and discussion - Electrochemical characteristics of the surface oxides of Rh in 1 M H_2SO_4 as a function of E_U " (paragraph 3) the sentence "These authors argue that the chemical formation of O-electrosorbed species are only partially electrore-duced during the potential scan in the negative direction, which is closely related to the overlapping of the O-electrosorbed species are only partially electrore-tial ranges" should read "These authors argue that the O-electrosorbed species are only partially electrore-duced during the potential scan in the negative direction, which is closely related to the overlapping of the O-electrodesorption and the H-electrosorbed species are only partially electrore-duced during the potential scan in the negative direction, which is closely related to the overlapping of the O-electrodesorption and the H-electrosorption potential ranges".

2. In "Results and discussion - Deposition of Cu onto Rh as a function of $E_{\rm U}$ " (paragraph 1) the sentence

"Figure 4 shows the potentiodynamic *I-E* profiles of Rh in 1 M H₂SO₄+1.9×10⁻³ M CuSO₄ for a number of values of E_U in the range 0.94 V $\leq E_U \leq$ 1.4 V" should read "Figure 4 shows the potentiodynamic *I-E* profiles of Rh in 1 M H₂SO₄+1.9×10⁻³ M CuSO₄ for a number of values of E_U in the range 1.04 V $\leq E_U \leq$ 1.4 V".

3. In "Results and discussion - Deposition of Cu onto Rh as a function of $E_{\rm U}$ " (Fig. 5) the vertical axis was " $(Q_{\rm Cu}/2Q_{\rm H,s})/\mu$ C". It should read " $(Q_{\rm Cu}/2Q_{\rm H,s})$ ".

4. In "Results and discussion - Deposition of Cu onto Rh as a function of E_U " (paragraph 8) the sentence "Taking into account the above, the potentiodynamic *I-E* profiles (Fig. 5) show that as E_U is increased to more positive values the most positive anodic peak (peak III) increases in height and its peak potential ($E_{pa(III)}$) is displaced to less positive values" should read "Taking into account the above, the potentiodynamic *I-E* profiles (Fig. 4) show that as E_U is increased to more positive values the most positive anodic peak (peak III) increases in height and its peak potential ($E_{pa(III)}$) is displaced to less positive values".

The online version of the original article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10008-002-0282-7

L. Salgado (⊠) Area de Electroquímica, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana – Iztapalapa, Apdo. Postal 55-534, México DF 09340, Mexico E-mail: lsalgado@cideteq.mx Tel.: + 52-442-2116030 Fax: + 52-442-2116001

Y. Meas · G. Trejo Centro de Investigación y Desarrollo Tecnológico en Electroquímica, Sanfandila Pedro Escobedo, Apdo. Postal 064, Querétaro 76700, Mexico